

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCY United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/744,424 01/24/2001		Toshiyuki Nakamura	1217-010064	5656	
	01/29/2002				
Russell D Orkin 700 Koppers Building			EXAMINER		
436 Seventh Av Pittsburgh, PA	enue e7'		CUNEO, KAMAND		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2827		
		DATE MAILED: 01/29/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

			No. D. Land	Washington, D.C.	20231	
	1/744724	1 2 2 2 2 2	574FES OF	APPLICANT	ATT	DOCKET NO.
AP	PLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	THOTOGRAP			
					EXA	MINER
					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
						6
					DATE MAILED:	
This COM	is a communication	from the examiner in ATENTS AND TRADE	charge of your application. MARKS			
			OFFICE ACTION S	SUMMARY		
☐ Res	nonsive to comm	unication(s) filed or	1			
	action is FINAL.					
		is in condition for a	allowance except for formal m	atters, prosecution a	s to the merits is c	losed in
acc	ordance with the	practice under <i>Ex p</i>	ane Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11, 45	60.d. 213.		
			this action is set to expire	o respond within the	month(s), or thir period for response	will cause
the appl	ication to become	e abandoned (35 t	J.S.C. § 133). Extensions of t	ime may be obtained	under the provisions	of 37 CFR
1.136(a)	•					
•	ition of Claims				is/are pending	o in the application.
☑ Cla	the above claim(5)			is/are withdrawn	from consideration.
☐ Cla	im(s)					s/are allowed. s/are rejected.
Cla	im(s)				iels	re objected to
∐ Cla [X] Cla	nim(s) //	6-134		are subj	ect to restriction or e	lection requirement
	ation Papers					
П.с.	a the attached No	otice of Draftsperso	n's Patent Drawing Review, P	TO-948.		
<u> </u>	- describer (a) filad	0.0		is/are objected to	by the Examiner.	
☐ Th	e proposed draw	ing correction, filed	on		is approved	disapproved.
☐ Th	e specification is	objected to by the I	Examiner.			
□ ть	e oath or declara	tion is objected to b	y the Examiner.			
	y under 35 U.S.C					
□ Ac	knowledgment is	made of a claim fo	r foreign priority under 35 U.S	i.C. § 119(a)-(d).		
	All Some*	☐ None of the	CERTIFIED copies of the pri	ority documents have	been	
] received.					
	received in App received in this	plication No. (Series a national stage app	s Code/Serial Number) dication from the International	Bureau (PCT Rule 17	7.2(a)).	
*Ce	ertified copies not	received:				
□ A	cknowledgment is	s made of a claim fo	or domestic priority under 35 t	J.S.C. § 119(e).		
Attacl	hment(s)					
□ N	lotice of Referenc	e Cited, PTO-892				

Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 -SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES-

Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTC-1449, Paper No(s).

Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

Interview Summary, PTO-413

Art Unit: 2827

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

2

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 116-122, drawn to a product, classified in class 174, subclass 262.

II. Claims 123-134, drawn to a method, classified in class 29, subclass 825.

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially

different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different

process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by drilling the through hole

and electroplating the conductive material within it or by connecting multiple boards together, then

drilling and electroplating so as to obviate the need for a protruding metal portion.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate

status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as

indicated is proper. Also because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the

search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated

is proper.

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the

Art Unit: 2827

claimed invention: as listed below. Applicant is warned that the species requirement is made to the best of examiner's ability given the confusing specification which does not include descriptions of many of

the figures such as figure 15 and figures 17-21. Applicant is encouraged to correct the specification for

these and other errors in response to this requirement so that a thorough examination can be performed

on the first action.

species a defined by figure 1,

species b defined by figure 5,

species c defined by figure 6.

species d defined by figure 7.

species e defined by figure 8.

species f defined by figure 9.

species g defined by figure 10,

species h defined by figure 12,

species i defined by figure 13.

species j defined by figure 14.

species k defined by figure 15,

species I defined by figure 17,

species m defined by figure 18,

species n defined by figure 20,

species o defined by figure 22,

species p defined by figure 24.

3

Art Unit: 2827

5. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Currently, no claims are generic.

6. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

7. A telephone call was made to Mr. Baldauf on 1/23/02 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

4

Art Unit: 2827

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named

inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of

inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37

CFR 1.17(i).

9. Any inquiries related to the examination of this application should be directed to Ex. K. Cuneo at

(703) 308-1233 or her supervisor SPE D. Talbott at (703) 305-9883. Inquiries of a general nature should

be directed to the receptionist of Group 2800 at (703) 308-0956. The fax numbers for Group 2800 are

(703) 308-7722 and 7724.

K. Cuneo

Primary Examiner

January 23, 2002

5